

TEAM-UP Fellowship Scoring System and Procedures

Summary

• Please score each of the categories from 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest) in reference to what the RFA (attached) requested, and provide comments that may help the Team enhance the experience, or improve future applications. Please note: your scores and feedback to the Team will be anonymous and your comments to the program director will NOT be shared with the Team.

1. Description of the scientific problem that the Team intends to address and its significance as well as innovation

Score:

Feedback to applicants (anonymous)

Confidential comments to program director

2. Justification for the need of a researcher for two years to meet appropriate milestones, and description of the qualifications of the ideal researcher candidate

Score:

Feedback to applicants (anonymous)

Confidential comments to program director

3. Mentoring plan that the Team will use to advance the career aspirations of the researcher, and evidence that funds to support the research needs of the researcher are available

Score:

Reviewer feedback to applicants (anonymous)

Confidential comments to program director

4. Projection of where the Team expects to be positioned after two years, and plan for how the team intends to continue to support the project (and the researcher if necessary) after two years

Score:

Reviewer feedback to applicants (anonymous)

Confidential comments to program director

Recommendation:

Should this proposal be considered further?